G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. 1924-25 (Mis)

File No. 122-24

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later references.
Dmg 24-25 1-2
269-27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 6

Part B for January 25

SUBJECT

Rahday & the Chowdadana
pna Bratt Bellary &c.
(Swami Virupakha trader)

to Mis Cmr
Letter No 1048/ mis 122-24-6 of 16.1.25
Stated that no definite info as regards the
Special insignias due to the matter was
for Examining further Enquiry should be made
in the matter & the result sent to GS Dept

21/1/25

Muz 1 1924.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Muz.)

NOTES.

File No. 122/1924

FILE HEADING.

Issue of a Rahadari to Sri Viripakcha Vadeyar of Chowdiah-dan-pur matt in the Ranebennur Taluka of the Dharwar Dist.

From The Muzrai Comr.

C No 388 Dated 8-11-24 Received 10-11-24

Diary No. 661 | Enclos. 1 & 2 | Spare Copies. — | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that the above matt is of great antiquity and the Guru is an honorary Professor of Vedic Bhasya in the Indian Sanskrit College, Mysore. Solicits instructions.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Muz. Comr's. letter explains the facts of the case.

The matt in question is a Muzrai institution and the Swamis have not visited Mysore on any previous occasion. The Swamiji

NOTES--First Sheet

WD 0560—GPB—500—9 8 22

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 122 of 19 24

(C

Serial No.

Biradu has now to be decided on merits.

2 The Swami's paraphernalia etc. are indicated below:—

10. Officers.
15. Aiyyas.
50. Sudras.
8. country carts.
(a) 2. Dannai Carts.
1. 4 wheeled chaise.
1. Motar.
5. horses.
R.H. — 1. Adda Palaki.
1. Nyana.
R.H. — 1. Pancha Kalasa.
R.H. 1. Makara Thorana.
R.H. 2. Affagers
Chandra Gavi nisasa.
R.H. — 1. Hagal Divatige
1. Jagate
2. Nandi Dhwaja.
S/p/H — 1. Sweta chatri
(a) 1. nisasa Pavade,
S/p/H — 1. Danka on horse.
S/p/H — 1. Kahi Nisani
(a) 1. Maruta mara Tapa.
S/p/H — 1. Chandra Vadhya.
S/p/H 1. Surya Vadya
S/p/H — 2. Chamara.
R.H. — 1. Dharala Sankha.
4. Silver Sticks.
2. Green Sticks.
2. Guns.
2. Hattiyars.
1. Gold Kale'
(a) 1. Tarasi.

1. Napuri.
1. Damaruga.
8/p/H 4 Bhuchakkada Kode.
1. Red umbrella
1. "Trisula"
4. Balle.
4. Gaggar — Kattige.
1. Uma Nagari
1. Belted pens

NOTE—Contn Sheet

G.R.S. III-2]

File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

Serial No.

Out of the insignia etc. claimed, There is no information about items marked A in red ink. With regard to other insignia the Muz. Comr. himself is not in favor of Adda Palaki and Pancha Kalasa (4) Biradus which have been granted by other Govts.

19 05

Under G.O. no. 11404-14 Pol. 202-14-41 Dy 19-11-1919, The insignia noted below are Royal Honors :—

Makara Thorana,
Dhavala Shanka.
Affagiri and
Hagalu Divatige.

With regard to these insignia, The G.O. says that these royal honors are by immemorial custom specially reserved for the use of the royalty and a display of the same by any one except under the Special Sanction of the Sovereign is an invasion of the Royal privilege and must not be permitted under any circumstances.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

G R S III 21

B65WD 0531

File No

of 19 .

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Serial No

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 122 of 19 24.

Serial No.

1 The Inam Commr states that the above royal honors are being enjoyed by Immadi Natti, and that he has recommended their use Dhavala Sanka excepted to the Rajkiri Swamy, and that they may be given to Virupaksha Vadeyar.

2 The grant of other insignia etc. is a point for orders.

3 Orders permitting Suns, Hathyars etc. will have to issue from Jud. Branch.

4 The Swamy has not sent his Tour programme.

5 It is a point for Consideration and orders whether orders permitting Biradari owned by Others Suns, can be made applicable to the Mysore State where so much importance is attached to their use by Heads of Mutts etc.

Submitted for orders.

12-2
12

12.11.24

Please bring up

13 11 24.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

5

Serial No.

of the insignias claimed by this Swami, the following are not clear -

(1) Dammi cauli (2) Udaka Pavada
(3) Mavina mara Tapa. (4) Tarasi
(5) Nagara. (6) Umamagari.

The following are the Royal honors.

(1) Adda Palaki. (2) Panchakalasa
(3) Makara Torana. (4) Aflagiri.
(5) Nagal Dindigi (6) Dhavala Saukha.

The following are special honors :-

(1) Lavelu Chatri (2) Danka on horse.
(3) Kasi Nisani. (4) Chandra Vadya
(5) Surya Vadya. (6) Chamara.

The rest are all ordinary honours.

RG
14.11.24

The Mysore Govt has recommended the grant of all the honours except Addapalaki and Panchakalasa on the ground of the antiquity of the Mutt and the piety & learning of the present Swamiji. The grant of honours ~~depends~~ is to be guided not by the personal qualifications of the present Swamiji or the antiquity of the Mutt, but on the importance of the Mutt. The Mutt has not been much heard of till now, and in any Case it Cannot be held higher than Nanjangud or Ujjain Mutt. The title

NOTE—Contn Sheet

G.R.S. III.21

File No. 681

of 19

Serial No.

relating to the Rahadari that the latter has been submitted separately that file relating to Mungi Math also has been placed in that file for reference. This matter may perhaps be granted the concession and special honour.

14.11.24.

Sl 2. D.O No. C.385 of 18.11.24 from the Hon'ble Mr. Sundar Gupta forwarding testimonials in favour of Mahant Prasad Puri Math.

Rev Secy

444/18.11 11 Secy

Reply in 18.11.24

[illegible] as the Math and its [illegible] any Rahadari matter in Bombay [illegible] the Swamy [illegible] Parashurambhau College [illegible] Swamy [illegible] other [illegible] parts also [illegible] town. [illegible] the Secretary [illegible] in this [illegible]

Secy 21.11.24

22.11.24

Sl 3. - From the Hon'ble Rao Bahadur Chandrasekhara Iyer Math- D.O. No. 750 - dt. 24.11.24.

Submits original of Calcutta Rahadari papers & specifies of the matters.

Sl 4. To the agent- No. 95-3/misc/22-24-4 dt. 25.11.24. Ack. receipt of the 18 papers sent by him.

D.O. No. 810 dt. 26.11.24

Sl 5 - Ltr. from the agent 26/11 stating that the matter Amalgamation is a branch matt of this matt & requests Rahadari allowed for

Why out of the original papers sent by the agent of the Math, [illegible] papers

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

that are except for the present purpose are:-

(1) Sanad granted by H.E. Sir George Russell Clerk KCB Governor of the Bombay Presidency, & 2. January 1862. Confirming certain lands in Chowdampur, Dharwar Dist. to hereditary succession to Jangam Veeraya bin Annajaya

(2) Order & 16.6.24 of the Dist. Magte of Dharwar giving Sevuram Vinyakrao Kadam of Chowdampur permission for Palanquin procession.

The other papers are all Certificates, granted to Vinyakrao Kadam, of his academical qualifications.

The note sub by the agent shows the antiquity of the institution in view of the misconception (3 in No.) sub? for perusal.

Submitted with regs & Members' order on page 6 infra.

25/11/24

From the Sanad referred to above it

NOTE–Contn Sheet

1 Y 2 C

2.0 No. 74 & 26.7.24 from the Dewan Bahadur the Karbhari State Vijapur the records had an interview with H.H. on 30.7.24 at Bangalore

GRS III-21

File No 172 of 19 24

Serial No.

appears that the Inam lands were Conferred on the grantee ~~hereditarily~~ and his descendants more as a personal Inam than as an Endowment to the Mutt. The Mutt does not find a mention in the Sanad, which probably goes to show that ~~there~~ was no recognized Mutt at the time of 1862 & the descendants of the grantee, ~~have~~ especially the present one, gradually assumed the dignity of Swami. ~~I want to establish~~ the present ~~gentleman~~ seems to be trying to ~~establish~~ obtain the position of a Mathadhipathi. Again in the Inam Certificate etc produced, the gentleman is designated a Pandit and not a Swami, which also strengthens the above view. The only document in which he is mentioned as Swami is the Chennai District Magistrate's order of 16th June 1924 (PCW) where he is called Swami Virupakshavadiya of Chowdampet. In this permission is given for the use of Cross Palanquin. But this order too is of very recent origin, being hardly half a year. ~~It~~ The Agent has not produced any other documents in support of the claim to Brindy Untuf argues (PC c) that as the Cross palanquin is the highest honour

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

M'u File No. 122 of 19 24

Serial No.

it follows that all the other Gurmukhi reserve. The last two paras at P/C are worth notice. It is very doubtful if such arguments can be accepted, where positive proofs based on records are required. Under the circumstances, it is for orders if, and what, honours can be allowed to the Swami

Rev. Secy.

25. 11. 24.

Secy

26. 11. 24

753/26.11

[illegible]

[illegible]

2/12/24

NOTE—Contn Sheet

GRS III 21

Mercy. File No 12-737 of 19

General an Secretariat.

Serial No.

Registered & the Mercy Comr is
requested,
Bd
C.J. 15
-2 appl
Rec-Regd)

Issue
14/1/25.

To the Bengal Government. No. 10884-16-1-25. 6

I have the honour to forward herewith the list of inscriptions produced by the Agents of States that it is not possible to pass orders on the honours desired by the Maulvi as definite info is not forthcoming as regards the Birudings to the Sanads in Political Sadds & requests him to take a few days enquiring into the matter & submit a report on the results.

Such act in Cert. Expenses may be allowed
Applc 14/1

I was sent for by the Secretary
The Members orders.
14/1/25

NOTE—Contn Sheet

No. C. 388 of 24-25
Enc one file

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 8th November 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIATE
10 NOV 1924
MUZRAI

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore 122-24-10
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith in original correspondence ending with letter dated 3rd November 1924 received from the President of the Veerasaiva Association, Mysore, requesting the issue of a Rahadari in favour of the Swamigalu of the Chowdanapur Matha in the Ranebennur Taluk of the Dharwar District, an important Institution of the Veerasaiva Community, and to state as follows:--

2. The note submitted by the President of the Association goes fully into the origin and development of the Matha and there seems to be no doubt that the Institution has a hoary past and its present head, a guru of profound learning and great piety, seems to command in the respect and veneration of not only the disciples of the Matha, but of others also. The Matha enjoys extensive inams in the Dharwar District of the Bombay Presidency, and its annual income is said to be about Rs.25,000/-. It does not enjoy any inams-either cash or land - in the State, and the Swamys of this matha have not also visited Mysore on any previous occasion. Consequently no references establishing the Swamy's right to parade the insignias claimed by him have been produced. The question has therefore to be now decided on merits.

The primary object of the Swamy's present visit is to make a lecturing tour in the State for the benefit of the Veerasaiva Community and the general public, for which work he is eminently fitted both by training and education, as will be evident from the numerous credentials

[illegible] behalf. In addition to being the head of such an important and ancient institution like that of the Chowdadanapur Kotha, the [illegible] is also the honorary Professor of Veda Bhashya in the [illegible] Sanskrit College, an unique departure from the traditional [illegible] exclusiveness of the seats of Hindu religious [illegible] Swami, [illegible] Sects enumerated under Section [illegible] of Mr. Setlur, [illegible] documentary evidence and [illegible] or [illegible] to be more too many, have not [illegible] Matha against to produce [illegible] whatever [illegible] be.

[illegible] desire to pursue [illegible] insignias enumerated in the [illegible] to the Secretary's letter of [illegible] November 1924. [illegible] based on the analogy of the [illegible] evidence of certain [illegible] Swami [illegible] course of his [illegible] other authorisations are not produced. [illegible] that the right of the Swamigalu [illegible] insignias is an established custom of very great [illegible] and at times when, any objections [illegible] were sought for and permission obtained. [illegible] Mysore or otherwise [illegible] restricted use of insignias [illegible] immemorial custom specially reserved for the use of Royalty [illegible] except under the sanction of competent authority.

4. [illegible] questions connected with the grant of insignias to [illegible] considerations, mental has upon judicial decisions or [illegible] of [illegible] made and ought to be respected [illegible] could not be proved, the grant to be newly [illegible] by other standards. The antiquity of the Matha [illegible] disciples attached to it, the respectability [illegible] body of Sishyas in general and the personal worth [illegible] are the prime factors upon which a fairly [illegible] could be arrived at.

[illegible] from these standards, the request made by Siddappa on [illegible] of Shri Virupaksha Wadeyar deserves favourable consideration [illegible] on account of the importance of the community and the piety and

and the learning of the Swamigalu.

5. Now coming to the individual items of insignias, the claim for the use of Addapalki is untenable as Government have said in unequivocal terms that the right to the honor of addapalki shall be continued only to the guru of the Sringeri Matha at Sringeri and cannot be given or continued to x x x any other matha" (vide para 12 of G.O.No.5547 --- 58/M.F.58-97 dated 28th May 1907) Similarly the claim for Panchakalasa cannot be admitted as Government have already observed that it is a Royal Insignia and has been classed as a special "Birudu" granted --- only to certain mathas and that the interpretation put upon to the -- effect that it represents the five achars, the founders of the ----- Veerasiava religion is not acceptable. (vide G.O.No.5054-65/M.F.57-02 dated 18th May 1908.)

Of the other insignias claimed, Makara Thorana, Dhavala Sankha, Aftagiri, and Hagalu Deevatige have also special significance attached to them as pertaining to Royalty. But as observed in para 13 of -- Government Order dated 28th May 1907, these honors are being enjoyed by a good many other mathas, and it does not seem to be quite necessary to place a restriction against their use.

These honours are being enjoyed by the Murgi Matha and their use (Dhavala Sankha excepted) by the Swamigalu of the Ujjini Matha who is now touring in the State, has been recommended to Government for sanction.

With the exception of the above Royal honours, the others insignias mentioned in the list furnished by the Secretary of the Veerasaiva Association may be classed under "Special" and "Ordinary" according to the division ordered in Government Proceedings No.G.11404-14/202-14-41 dated 19-11-19. As has already been submitted on previous occasions, this classification applies to private persons other than the mathadhipatnis and will not be much helpful in adjudicating the claims of heads of mathas for the grant of insignias.

6. I am of opinion that the grant of insignias in the present instance is well deserved, and that there does not seem to be sufficient reason with-hold the permission sought for, having regard particularly to the

the great antiquity of the mathe and the deep learning of its

beg therefore to solicit instructions of Government whether a Sanadari omitting "Addapalki and "Ranchamalana" may be issued to the Mahipals of the Chowdanapur Mathe.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Kennedy

Mukrul Commissioner.

GEN. BR. RECD. MUZRAI DEPT.

Dno 717.

D.O.C.388/24-25 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner,
Enc testimonials. in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 18th November 1924.

Dear Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No. 388/24-25 dated 8th November 1924 re grant of a -- Rahadari to the Swamigalu of the Chowdadanapur, I send herein enclosed copies of testimonials etc., since presented by the agent of the Matha.

Yours sincerely,

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

C. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 717

Unr 122-24 L.

Forwards in connection with the grant of a Rahadari to the Sr. of Chowdanapur, copies of testimonials etc since presented by the Agent of the Mata.

The main file D. no. 661 misc. 7. no. 122-1924 was submitted to the H.E. members of Council for orders on yesterday. 18th inst.

This will be kept in the connected file.

Secretary

19.11.24.

19.11.24.

Noted placed below

19.11.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G R S. III—3].

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc , not intended for permanent record]

N. B —Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

To,

The Secretary,

to the Government of His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

Revenue Department.

REV. SECRETARIAT
24 NOV 1924
MUZRAI

Dno 750.

Respected Sir,

In continuation of my previous application for the grant of Radhari to Sree Virupaksha Wodyer of Choudayydanpur Mutt, I beg to submit herewith the original Sanads and — certificates in regard to this Mutt and request that Rahadari may be kindly granted as early as practicable.

I beg taht an acknowledgement of the receipt of these papers may be kindly granted.

I beg to remain,

S I R,

Your most obedient Servant,

Appaparajavadeyaru

Bangalore;

Dated 24. Nov..1924.

Address

Apparaj Wodeyar
Choudyadanapura Mutt,
Ranibennur,
Dharwar Dict.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

Application dated
the 24th Nov 24.
regarding the Bronze
of the Sand mould
"

Thanks

Encl.

Yours truly
[illegible]
24.11.24

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22

GEN. REV. SEC.
13 DEC 1924
MUZRAI

Dno. 907
Muz 122-24-5

To

K.R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Member of Council,
BANGALORE.

Honoured Sir,

The humble Petitioner undersigned begs leave to submit that his petitions to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore praying for the grant of a Rahadari to the Swamigalu of the Chowdayadanpur Matt in the Ranebennur Taluk, are now before Government for orders:

The Petitioner begs to submit that by a stroke of good fortune, he learnt that the Lingayet Matha at Yennagara in the Jigani Hobli of the Anekal Taluk, was a branch Matt owning allegiance to the parent-Matt at Chowdayadanpur. The Petitioner solicits the liberty to submit an humble Memorial addressed by the presiding Swamy of the former Matt to Your Honour Confirming the above acts in unmistakable terms and beseeching Government to grant the Rahadari applied for by the Petitioner to enable the Swamigalu of the latter Matt to tour in the State.

The Swami cites the occasions on which Rahadaris were granted to his Matha and the honours the Swamies of that Mutt are entitled to carry.

Your Honour will see the justice of the claim put forth by the Petitioner, by the mere fact that a Matha subordinate to the one at Chowdayadanpur is entitled to honours of great importance within the State.

The

The Petitioner begs to submit further that the communication -- addressed to your Honour and enclosed herein was written out of Swamy's own free-will and with a burning desire to honour and revere his spiritual preceptor.

It is interesting to note in this connection that the subordination which is now admitted may be verified and confirmed by corroborative evidence of a similar admission made by one of the previous Swamies in 1851-58 in a series of Civil suits in Mysore in which the then Swamy of the Hennagara Matha figured as a defendant.

In conclusion, the Petitioner submits for the information of Government that the Swamies of the Chowdayadanpur Matt are the high priests of the entire Ambiga Community.

The Petitioner begs that early favourable orders may graciously be passed on his representation.

Begs to remain,
HONOURED SIR,
Your most obedient servant,

BANGALORE,
28th November 1924.

C. Appajiavadeyar.
Chowdayadanapur Matt.

List of papers.

1. }
2. } Inscriptions of Chowdayyadanapura.
3. }

4. Sannads by British Government granting Inam. Other similar Sannads will be produced, if necessary.

5. Dharwar Collector's order of Cross Palanquin.

6. One certificate from the Board of Sanskrit VEDATHIRTHA TITLE EXAMINATION.

7. One Testimonial granting the Title of DHARMABHUSHANA from His Highness the ~~Maharaja~~ Gaikwar of ~~Rukkumd~~ Baroda.

8. One certificate granting the title of Pundita by His ~~Highness~~ Highness the Maharaja of Rutlam.

9. One certificate of Merit granted by His Highness the Maharaja of Dewas.

10. One certificate of Merit granted by Dr. Bhandarkar.

11. One certificate of Merit granted by Mr. Satischandra Vidyabhushana Principal, ~~Sanskrit~~ Sanskrit College, Calcutta.

12. One Recommendatory Letter to ~~Sri~~ Sir Pratula Chandra Chatterji, Kt., by Mr. Sarada Charan Mitra.

13. One certificate granted by the Home Minister of Indore.

14. One certificate granted by Mr. Umesh Chandra Vidyaratna of Saraswatha Association, Calcutta.

15. One certificate granted by the Home Minister of Patiala.

16. One letter received from the Huzur Secretary of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

17. One address given by the Dharwarians at the time of awarding the University Medal (of Calcutta University) to Swami Virupaksha Wodeyar.

18. One Resolution passed by the Lingayet Education Association, Dharwar, regarding the delegation of Swami Virupaksha Wodeyar to the Oriental Research Conference held at Poona during 1919.

---

* C O P Y *

28-11-24

Mur 4]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Mur

File No. 122 - 24

Serial No. 6

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

No. 1048

Date of issue 16. 1. 25

To

The Muzrai Commr,

Signed by M T R.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C. 353 of 1924, dated the 8th November 1924 on the subject of the case of Chandadevamma Inam in the Ramtheertham Taluk, Dharwar District, I am directed to state that as in the absence of the information is not forthcoming the Board is unable to take any action in the matter.

over.

W. 15.1

is entitled to in British India
where the mail originated,
it is not possible to pass order
regarding the honors claimed
by the Mail, particularly as
it would appear that the
Mail is not an accredited
institution, but has come
into existence within the
last two or three years.

2 Please acknowledge receipt
request from the Secretary
as to hold a further
enquiry regarding the Firearms
employed by the Arsenal in
British India or in other Native
States, and submit a report of
the result of such enquiry.

List of enclosures.

3. Should the papers mentioned to form
the date under reply are submitted.
A letter was received from the
agents of the mail (Ref. No. 707/8/28 I. 4)
with its seal, as well as the papers
and specimens produced
the agents in support of the Arsenal Claim
(a list enclosed) are also
forwarded herewith.

Copied by
Examined by

WD 0563 GPB—2,500—27 7 22

List of papers.

1.)
2.) Inscriptions of Chowdayyadanapura.
3.)

4. Sannads by British Government granting Inam. Other similar Sannads will be produced if necessary.

5. Dharwar Collector's order of Gross Palanquin.

6. One certificate from the Board of Sanskrit Vedatnirtha Title Examination.

7. One testimonial granting the Title of Dharmabhushana from His Highness the Gaikwar of Baroda.

8. One certificate granting the title of Pundita by His Highness the Maharaja of Rutlam.

9. One certificate of Merit granted by His Highness the Maharaja of Dewas.

10. One certificate of Merit granted by Dr. Bhandarkar.

11. One certificate of Merit granted by Mr. Satischandra Vidya-Bhushana Principal, Sanskrit College, Calcutta.

12. One Recommendatory letter to Sir Pratula Chandra Chatterji, Kt., by Mr. Sarada Charan Mitra.

13. One certificate granted by the Home Minister of Indore.

14. One certificate granted by Mr. Umesh Chandra Vidyaratna of Saraswatha Association, Calcutta.

15. One certificate granted by the Home Minister of Patiala.

16. One letter received from the Huzur Secretary of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

17. One address given by the Dharwarians at the time of awarding the University Medal (of Calcutta University) to Swami Virupaksha Wodeyar.

18. One Resolution passed by the Lingayet Education Association, Dharwar, regarding the delegation of Swami Virupaksha Wodeyar to the Oriental Research Conference held at Poona during 1919.

---

* C O P Y *

Superintendent, Muzrai Branch.